



PORTFOLIO RISK AND PERFORMANCE

Active Share: Different Does Not Equal Better

April 10, 2015

A buzzword in the investment community these days is active share, a specific way to measure how different a portfolio is from its benchmark. On its own, such a measure wouldn't attract much attention; what's gotten people excited is the claim that higher active share predicts higher excess returns.

So does it? No, as we show in a new AQR white paper, "[Deactivating Active Share](#)." That shouldn't be too surprising. Just because a portfolio claims to have "high conviction" and thus looks a lot different than its benchmark doesn't mean it should perform better than its benchmark. The idea that success requires high conviction may seem intuitive and appealing, but there's just no clear economic reason or theory to link "different" to "better."¹ Here, there may be some confusion about "probability" and "amount" of outperformance. That is, being "different" with higher active share or higher tracking error may lead to different returns but not necessarily higher returns. And that's exactly what we find in the data: it's just as likely for active share to be associated with outperformance as underperformance.

So where did the active share results come from? We use the same data as the original studies on the topic and find it wasn't a fund's active share driving the results; it was the market cap of its benchmark. Once you control for the apples-to-oranges problem of different funds having different benchmarks, active share's usefulness as a predictor of alpha goes away.

However, proponents of active share make a good point when it comes to fees — specifically, that it's a rotten deal for investors to pay "active-like fees" for a fund that is essentially passive. But even here, we'd argue (ex ante) tracking error is a better way to evaluate how "active" a fund is. Tracking error is imperfect as well, and by no means a panacea, but unlike active share it recognizes correlations between stocks, industries, etc. Moreover, tracking error is more comparable across benchmarks (an active share of 70% may be large if your benchmark is the S&P 500, but small if your benchmark is the Russell 2000) and asset classes (active share can be meaningless for long/short, levered strategies). As we've [argued before](#), fees should be in line with the active risk a manager takes, and tracking error is a more consistent and useful way to measure that.

[1] It's even more difficult to explain why active share should predict performance while other measures of "activity" such as tracking error do not!

This document is not intended to, and does not relate specifically to any investment strategy or product that AQR offers. It is being provided merely to provide a framework to assist in the implementation of an investor's own analysis and an investor's own view on the topic discussed herein.

This document has been provided to you solely for information purposes and does not constitute an offer or solicitation of an offer or any advice or recommendation to purchase any securities or other financial instruments and may not be construed as such. The factual information set forth herein has been obtained or derived from sources believed by the author and AQR Capital Management, LLC ("AQR") to be reliable but it is not necessarily all-inclusive and is not guaranteed as to its accuracy and is not to be regarded as a representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the information's accuracy or completeness, nor should the attached information serve as the basis of any investment decision. This document is not to be reproduced or redistributed to any other person. The information set forth herein has been provided to you as secondary information and should not be the primary source for any investment or allocation decision. Past performance is not a guarantee of future performance. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing

investment losses.

This material is not research and should not be treated as research. This paper does not represent valuation judgments with respect to any financial instrument, issuer, security or sector that may be described or referenced herein and does not represent a formal or official view of AQR. The views expressed reflect the current views as of the date hereof and neither the author nor AQR undertakes to advise you of any changes in the views expressed herein.

The information contained herein is only as current as of the date indicated, and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons. Charts and graphs provided herein are for illustrative purposes only. The information in this presentation has been developed internally and/or obtained from sources believed to be reliable; however, neither AQR nor the author guarantees the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of such information. Nothing contained herein constitutes investment, legal, tax or other advice nor is it to be relied on in making an investment or other decision. There can be no assurance that an investment strategy will be successful. Historic market trends are not reliable indicators of actual future market behavior or future performance of any particular investment which may differ materially, and should not be relied upon as such. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing investment losses.

The information in this paper may contain projections or other forward-looking statements regarding future events, targets, forecasts or expectations regarding the strategies described herein, and is only current as of the date indicated. There is no assurance that such events or targets will be achieved, and may be significantly different from that shown here. The information in this document, including statements concerning financial market trends, is based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons.