

ALTERNATIVE INVESTING

Hedging on Hedge Funds (postscript on correlations, beta, and "alpha")

May 16, 2016

After my last piece on hedge funds I've gotten a lot of questions that often come down to the issue of "beta" versus "correlation" with the market and, more generally, about "alpha." I thought I'd share a version of my typical response.

First, in response to many otherwise good questions that I think confuse correlation with beta, they are not the same. As an example — for a fund that is 10% long stocks and 90% cash, the beta of the fund is 0.1, but the correlation to stocks is 1.0 as stocks drive all the variability (in excess returns). Similarly, a fund that is 40% long stocks and 60% cash has a beta of 0.4, but that fund still has a 1.0 correlation to stocks. Beta measures how much, on average, a fund responds to stock market moves, correlation measures how "tight" that response is. To go the other way from the prior examples you could have a beta of 0.4 with stocks, perhaps being net long 40% stocks, but with massive additional offsetting long and short positions that don't change your beta (as they are offsetting!) but make it so that your 0.4 beta doesn't tell you very much about your subsequent returns (i.e., a low correlation).

Now, for a real world example; hedge funds are generally net long about 40% of the stock market. As a result, they usually have a beta of about 0.4. The rest is not in cash, as in my example above, but instead hedge funds attempt to do "other things." Say those other things are taking long and short positions that balance such that they have no direct equity market exposure. This does not change the fund's beta of 0.4, but can impact the fund's correlation to stocks. If they do a lot of these other things their correlation with the stock market is lower than if they do little of these other things. Either way, their beta remains 0.4 and comparing them to 40% of the stock market makes sense as that represents their exposure to the market. Ideally, in my view, hedge funds should just do the other things and have no market exposure, a 0.0 beta, but whether at 0.0 or 0.4 beta they will look relatively worse than the stock market during big bull runs. Again, that's not the point — any diversification from an ex post bull market tends to look bad.

Ultimately, it is these other things that really matter, as you can think of the hedge fund above as being the market plus another source of return uncorrelated to the market. If that other source of return also reliably produced positive returns over time, it would qualify for the (overused term) "alpha." It is these other things, delivering alpha, for which you should (perhaps!) pay hedge fund fees, not for the beta.¹ One of the criticisms I think legitimate is that hedge funds are doing less of these other things nowadays and so this alpha (both attempted and realized) has been smaller making correlations (but not beta) go up, as if you do fewer other things but stay the same 40% net long the market now explains more of your variability, and the value proposition in hedge funds is probably worse now (I show that here).

I hope this helps! Alternatively, at the least, I hope I haven't made it worse.

Cliff

[1] An additional subtlety is whether these other things are truly unique "alpha" or rather straightforward known strategies. I'll leave that to revisit (yet again) another day.

This document is not intended to, and does not relate specifically to any investment strategy or product that AQR offers. It is being provided merely to provide a framework to assist in the implementation of an investor's own analysis and an investor's own view on the topic discussed herein.

This document has been provided to you solely for information purposes and does not constitute an offer or solicitation of an offer or any advice or recommendation to purchase any securities or other financial instruments and may not be construed as such. The factual information set forth herein has been obtained or derived from sources believed by the author and AQR Capital Management, LLC ("AQR") to be reliable but it is not necessarily all-inclusive and is not guaranteed as to its accuracy and is not to be regarded as a representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the information's accuracy or completeness, nor should the attached information serve as the basis of any investment decision. This document is not to be reproduced or redistributed to any other person. The information set forth herein has been provided to you as secondary information and should not be the primary source for any investment or allocation decision. Past performance is not a guarantee of future performance. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing investment losses.

This material is not research and should not be treated as research. This paper does not represent valuation judgments with respect to any financial instrument, issuer, security or sector that may be described or referenced herein and does not represent a formal or official view of AQR. The views expressed reflect the current views as of the date hereof and neither the author nor AQR undertakes to advise you of any changes in the views expressed herein.

The information contained herein is only as current as of the date indicated, and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons. Charts and graphs provided herein are for illustrative purposes only. The information in this presentation has been developed internally and/or obtained from sources believed to be reliable; how ever, neither AQR nor the author guarantees the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of such information. Nothing contained herein constitutes investment, legal, tax or other advice nor is it to be relied on in making an investment or other decision. There can be no assurance that an investment strategy will be successful. Historic market trends are not reliable indicators of actual future market behavior or future performance of any particular investment which may differ materially, and should not be relied upon as such. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing investment losses.

The information in this paper may contain projections or other forward-looking statements regarding future events, targets, forecasts or expectations regarding the strategies described herein, and is only current as of the date indicated. There is no assurance that such events or targets will be achieved, and may be significantly different from that shown here. The information in this document, including statements concerning financial market trends, is based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons.