

ALTERNATIVE INVESTING

Response to Miles Johnson

May 16, 2016

Miles Johnson of the FT takes strong issue with an article I didn't write but, rather, one he thinks someone like me would write (I'm sorry if that's hard to follow but it's Miles's fault).

I wrote a fairly narrow piece pointing out that articles marveling at the 2015 compensation of the top 25 hedge fund managers (e.g., here or here) are about wealth when they purport to be about income. That's basically it. Not a statement that wealth wasn't important. Not a defense of this wealth. Ayn Rand didn't leave the building as she never entered it. I mentioned that this "mistake" likely occurs as higher numbers sell more papers (or whatever people buy or read for free these days) than do lower numbers. I made a point of saying that this is not about the inequality debate, rather, it's just about exaggeration and imprecision. That was it.

In fact, in the email I sent when recirculating this article I wrote a year ago (sorry you missed it the first time Miles) I specifically said and meant, "There's plenty to debate on the investing merits and the inequality issues here without simply bad, and intentionally misleading, math."

Nowhere in my original piece, or anywhere else, is my obtuse denial of these important issues actually detectable. Nowhere did I say that wealth wasn't an important part of the debate. Nowhere did I defend the hedge fund business model (quite the opposite, more on that below). I pointed out, literally just this — that wealth is not income, and that these now annual articles confuse this, probably intentionally. I stand by that as fact.

Actually, I have written a lot criticizing hedge funds and I've done it for a long time. My firm isn't really a hedge fund by fees or majority of assets, but that is apparently too subtle for Miles (or inconveniently didn't fit his slant) as labels are so much easier. I actually say as a matter of disclosure here that my firm has likely benefited from the move to lower fees and truly hedged products and away from the traditional hedge fund model and that I have a long history of criticizing overpriced and under-hedged hedge funds. In the age of Google it would not take an incredible effort of journalistic research to note my analysis and commentary going back to 2001 in here, here, or maybe here? I got yelled at by many in the hedge fund industry for it (especially the first one when I was younger and would actually listen to semi-famous people yelling at me on the phone!). The hedgies didn't want balance and accurate analysis back then any more than Miles does now.

I also wonder if Miles has such harsh words for other critics of the misrepresented top 25 managers' earnings lists like Matt Levine at Bloomberg View. I'm guessing no as despite Matt and I repeatedly overlapping on this issue Johnson couldn't misrepresent Matt's career, history, and motivations in order to write the political screed Miles clearly wanted to pen.

Miles, some advice. Click on a few hyper-links and actually read the background pieces. Try to understand the (admittedly narrow in my original piece) point of what you read, not the point you think the person might be making based on who they are.

I 100% stand by the piece Miles criticizes and find his criticisms to be based entirely on a non-existent essay he thinks people like me would write. Finally, I'm proud of my long history of balance and willingness to criticize and advocate for change in the hedge fund industry.

This document is not intended to, and does not relate specifically to any investment strategy or product that AQR offers. It is being provided merely to provide a framework to assist in the implementation of an investor's own analysis and an investor's own view on the topic discussed herein.

This document has been provided to you solely for information purposes and does not constitute an offer or solicitation of an offer or any advice or recommendation to purchase any securities or other financial instruments and may not be construed as such. The factual information set forth herein has been obtained or derived from sources believed by the author and AQR Capital Management, LLC ("AQR") to be reliable but it is not necessarily all-inclusive and is not guaranteed as to its accuracy and is not to be regarded as a representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the information's accuracy or completeness, nor should the attached information serve as the basis of any investment decision. This document is not to be reproduced or redistributed to any other person. The information set forth herein has been provided to you as secondary information and should not be the primary source for any investment or allocation decision. Past performance is not a guarantee of future performance. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing investment losses.

This material is not research and should not be treated as research. This paper does not represent valuation judgments with respect to any financial instrument, issuer, security or sector that may be described or referenced herein and does not represent a formal or official view of AQR. The views expressed reflect the current views as of the date hereof and neither the author nor AQR undertakes to advise you of any changes in the views expressed herein.

The information contained herein is only as current as of the date indicated, and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons. Charts and graphs provided herein are for illustrative purposes only. The information in this presentation has been developed internally and/or obtained from sources believed to be reliable; however, neither AQR nor the author guarantees the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of such information. Nothing contained herein constitutes investment, legal, tax or other advice nor is it to be relied on in making an investment or other decision. There can be no assurance that an investment strategy will be successful. Historic market trends are not reliable indicators of actual future market behavior or future performance of any particular investment which may differ materially, and should not be relied upon as such. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing investment losses.

The information in this paper may contain projections or other forward-looking statements regarding future events, targets, forecasts or expectations regarding the strategies described herein, and is only current as of the date indicated. There is no assurance that such events or targets will be achieved, and may be significantly different from that shown here. The information in this document, including statements concerning financial market trends, is based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons.